

## Determination of Need for a Restriction, Condition, Public Use Limit, or Closure

Subject: Temporary closures or restrictions to taking wildlife (wolves and coyotes)

Pursuant to Title 36 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), sections 13.40 and 13.50, the National Park Service has determined it is necessary to restrict the take of wolves and coyotes during the timeframe coyotes and wolves are denning in Alagnak Wild River and Aniakchak, Bering Land Bridge, Denali, Gates of the Arctic, Katmai, Lake Clark, Noatak, Wrangell-St. Elias, and Yukon-Charley Rivers National Preserves. These changes are in response to recent changes in state law. The result is that wolves and coyotes will remain protected during the period when they are raising vulnerable offspring and their pelts have little trophy, economic, or subsistence value. This change makes the affected closure dates for wolves and coyotes more consistent with Federal subsistence seasons.

### **13.40(e) Temporary closures or restrictions to the taking of fish and wildlife (wolves/coyotes)**

The take of wolves or coyotes under state regulations is prohibited from May 1 through August 9 in Alagnak Wild River and Aniakchak, Bering Land Bridge, Denali, Gates of the Arctic, Katmai, Lake Clark, Noatak, Wrangell-St. Elias, and Yukon-Charley Rivers National Preserves.

*This provision does not affect season start dates after August 9. For example, if the state season is September 1, taking wolves under the state regulations would be authorized on September 1. If the state season starts on August 1, then the taking of wolves is not authorized in these NPS areas until August 10.*

### The reasons for these restrictions are:

These restrictions are based on actions taken by the Alaska Board of Game (BOG) in 2012 and 2014 as well as previous years that extended the season for taking wolves and coyotes into the summer months in several GMUs that include some NPS Preserves. These BOG actions include establishment of a year-round coyote season and extending the season for taking wolves through June in several areas.

The State of Alaska is the primary entity responsible for managing wildlife in accordance with State mandates. At the same time, the NPS is charged with the responsibility for assuring that the take of fish and wildlife is consistent with the fundamental purposes of the park system and those of individual park units. Federal law provides that the fundamental purpose of national park areas is conservation of park resources and values, including the scenery, the natural and historic objects, and wild life therein, and prohibits impairment of park resources or values. Under NPS management policies, activities that may result in impairment include those that impact a “resource or value whose conservation is . . . key to the natural . . . integrity of the park or to provide opportunities for enjoyment of the park.” Because the impact threshold at which impairment occurs is not readily apparent, the NPS policies require managers avoid unacceptable impacts to park resources and values. Unacceptable impacts are those that are inconsistent with park purposes and values; diminish opportunities for current or future generations to enjoy, learn

about, or be inspired by park resources or values; or unreasonably interfere with other appropriate uses.

In addition to the above, legislated purposes of the National Preserves in Alaska include the protection of habitat for and populations of fish and wildlife. Congress directed the NPS to manage national preserves in the same manner as national parks with the exception that sport hunting and trapping are authorized. (ANILCA, Public Law 96-487, section 1313). In considering the management of national park areas, the National Park Service must consider the expectations laid out in the 1916 Organic Act, the 1970 General Authorities Act, and the 1978 Redwoods Amendment, as well as the 1980 Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act (ANILCA) and other legislation. National park areas are closed to the taking of wildlife except as specifically authorized by Congress. Congress authorized taking of wildlife in NPS preserves for Title VIII subsistence uses and for sport purposes. This is not an authorization without limit, and must be implemented in light of the high public value and integrity of the National Park System.

In passing ANILCA, Congress did not absolve the National Park Service from operating within the legal, regulatory, and policy framework applicable across the National Park System. The Senate Energy and Natural Resources Committee (S. Rpt. 96-413) stated “It is contrary to the National Park Service concept to manipulate habitat or populations to achieve maximum utilization of natural resources.” A further statement in the Congressional Record on ANILCA provides that “[t]he standard to be met in regulating the taking of fish and wildlife and trapping is that the preeminent natural values of the park system shall be protected in perpetuity and shall not be jeopardized by human uses. These are very special lands and this standard must be set very high[.]” State harvest regulations apply in NPS preserves to the extent that it is consistent with NPS laws, regulations and policies. The NPS may close or restrict the take of wildlife in preserves pursuant to ANILCA section 1313 and federal regulations at 36 CFR 13.40 and 13.50.

These season extensions have the potential to create unacceptable impacts to the preserves’ purposes and values. The practice of hunting or trapping wolves and coyotes into summer has long been prohibited. Consistent with sound management principles and conservation of wildlife, practices that disturb animals when they are in a vulnerable state—in their dens, when reproducing, or very young—are usually avoided. Accordingly, these practices have generally been prohibited under federal subsistence and state regulations.

Continuation of the natural process is expected in park areas except as specifically authorized by Congress. The take of denning wolves and coyotes has potential to impact the natural integrity of a native species. The practical effect of these allowances, open to all hunters and trappers, is increased efficiency for taking predator species. This has potential to create pressures on the natural abundance, behavior, distribution, and ecological integrity of these native wildlife species. State laws or actions that seek to manipulate natural wildlife populations for human consumption, or have that practical effect, are inconsistent with Congress’s authorization for taking wildlife for sport purposes as well as with NPS statutes, regulations, and policies.

The NPS recognizes and supports subsistence and sport hunting, and trapping. These activities are important heritage activities in NPS preserves in Alaska. However, introducing NPS

preserves to these liberalized wolf and coyote harvest opportunities, to include pups, when pelts are of poor quality and offspring are vulnerable could create unacceptable impacts to the resources and values for which the park area was established to protect. It also has the potential to disrupt the subsistence opportunity for taking that wolf or coyote later in the year when its coat is prime in order to sell the pelt for cash.

This restriction recognizes that state and federal management objectives and authorities differ and adopts a federal restriction for NPS preserves to comply with federal law and policy in park areas. The NPS remains committed to managing park resources and values in a way that minimizes interference with state management of resident wildlife resources.

A less restrictive approach has been attempted but was not effective. The NPS opposed proposals to the BOG that affected preserves, and requested that, if such regulations were adopted, NPS lands be excluded. The Board of Game adopted regulations without excluding NPS managed lands. In doing so, the Board of Game has made it clear that the State process will not be used to remedy management inconsistencies on NPS lands. Rather, NPS has been encouraged to use its own authorities to ensure that preserves are managed in a manner consistent with federal law, policy, regulation and non-conflicting State regulation. The NPS requested the Board revisit this authorization in preserves in 2013 and the Board considered but rejected that request at their January 2014 meeting. In the absence of change in state law or regulation, these restrictions are necessary. The NPS intends to propose a regulation to permanently address this issue.